Appl. No. 10/700,311 Amdt. dated September 5, 2007 Reply to Office Action of June 5, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-18 are pending.

Claims 1-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. Section 102 as being anticipated by Murase (U.S. Pat, No. 6,285,826).

Independent claims 1, 8, 11, and 17 have been amended, without conceding the merits of the Section 102 rejection. For example, claim 1 recites in part:

a first transmitting circuit which transmits the information to a display; a second transmitting circuit which transmits the information to the external device via an external network: ...

wherein said second transmitting circuit transmits the information to said external device according to said path information

Independent claims 8, 11, and 17 have been similarly amended. The specification describes transmitting to the display in paragraph [0027] of the specification as originally filed. An example of the recited "external network" is shown in Fig. 2 by network 304. No new matter has been added

A salient aspect of the pending claims is the limitation of "transmitting the information to the external device via an external network according to the path information."

Murase at best shows transmitting information to a display. However, Murase clearly is silent as to a second circuit for transmitting the information to the external device, or for transmitting the information to the external device via an external network according to the path information.

Murase therefore does not teach:

a first transmitting circuit which transmits the information to a display; a second transmitting circuit which transmits the information to the external device via an external network: ...

wherein said second transmitting circuit transmits the information to said external device according to said path information

as recited in claim 1 and similarly in independent claims 8, 11, and 17.

The Section 102 rejection of independent claims 1, 8, 11, and 17 and their respective dependent claims are believed to be overcome.

Appl. No. 10/700,311 Amdt. dated September 5, 2007 Reply to Office Action of June 5, 2007

CONCLUSION

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 650-326-2400.

Respectfully submitted,

/George B. F. Yee/

George B. F. Yee Reg. No. 37,478

TOWNSEND and TOWNSEND and CREW LLP Two Embarcadero Center, Eighth Floor San Francisco, California 94111-3834 Tel: 650-326-2400 Fax: 415-576-0300 GBFY 81144674vt